

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
DONELLE MURPHY,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	ORDER
-against-	:	
	:	20-CV-3076 (PAE) (JLC)
WARDEN OF ATTICA	:	
CORRECTIONAL FACILITY,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	
	:	
	v	

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

By letter dated April 22, 2021, petitioner requests confirmation that his last submission was received by the Court and seeks to consolidate his state court motion with this habeas proceeding. Dkt. No. 33. The Court confirms that it has received petitioner's December 11, 2020 letter and a copy of his section 440.10 motion papers. Dkt. No. 31. However, the Court has no legal authority to consolidate a motion that is before the state court with a habeas petition pending in federal court. Accordingly, petitioner will have to proceed with his 440.10 motion in state court.

Moreover, according to respondent's letter dated December 23, 2020, there are no post-conviction applications currently pending in state court. To the extent

petitioner has not done so already, he may need to file (or refile) his Section 440.10 motion in state court.

Finally, petitioner's request for appointment of pro bono counsel (see Dkt. No. 31) is denied. As a general matter, there is no constitutional right to counsel in habeas corpus proceedings. The Criminal Justice Act ("CJA") provides that a court may appoint counsel to an indigent person when "the interests of justice so requires." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). In deciding whether to exercise its discretion to appoint counsel under the CJA, courts in this Circuit consider the same factors as those applicable to requests for pro bono counsel made by civil litigants. See e.g., Zimmerman v. Burge, 492 F. Supp. 2d 170, 176 n.1 (E.D.N.Y. 2007) (citing Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989)); In re Pizzuti, 10 Civ. 199, 2010 WL 4968244, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 7, 2010). Those factors include the likelihood of success on the merits, the complexity of the legal issues, and petitioner's ability to investigate and present the case. See Cooper, 877 F.2d at 172; Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58, 61-62 (2d Cir. 1986). The Court has considered these factors and finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted at this time because there is not a sufficient basis to determine that the petition has merit. Notably, petitioner's motion for a stay of the proceedings while he exhausts certain state remedies is

currently pending before the Court, and the outcome of that motion may alter the proceedings going forward.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 27, 2021

New York, New York

A copy of this Order has been mailed to:

Mr. Donnelle Murphy Inmate No. 15-A-2721 Collins Correctional Facility P.O. Box 340 Collins, New York 14034-0340

JAMES L. COT

United States Magistrate Judge